arXiv:1608.02667v2 [math.AG] 23 Aug 2016

A SYSTEM OF HYPERGEOMETRIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN TWO VARIABLES OF RANK 9

JYOICHI KANEKO, KEIJI MATSUMOTO, AND KATSUYOSHI OHARA

Abstract. We study a hypergeometric function in two variables and a system of hypergeometric differential equations associated with this function. This is a regular holonomic system of rank 9. We give a fundamental system of solutions to this system in terms of this hypergeometric series. We give circuit matrices along generators of the fundamental group of the complement of its singular locus with respect to our fundamental system.

1. Introduction

There are several generalizations of the original hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ and differential equation. For examples, we have the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_{p-1}$, Appell's functions $F_1, \dots, F_4$, Lauricella's functions $F_A, \dots, F_D$, and the differential equations associated with them.

In this paper, we study a hypergeometric function $F\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}; x\Big)$ in two variables x_1 and x_2 with parameters $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1, b_2, 1 \\ b_3, b_4, 1 \end{pmatrix}$ defined in (2.1), which is one of generalizations of the hypergeometric series introduced by Kampé de Fériet as mentioned in [5][§1.5]. Our function can be regarded as an extension of ${}_3F_2\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{pmatrix}; x\Big)$ just like Appell's $F_4\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{pmatrix}; x_1, x_2\Big)$ as that of the original ${}_2F_1\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2 \\ b_1 \end{pmatrix}; x\Big)$.

We give a system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ of differential equations satisfied by our function in Proposition 2.3. Our function and this system admit the $S_3 \times D_4$-symmetry with respect to parameter and variable changes, where S_3 is the symmetric group of degree 3 and D_4 is the dihedral

Date: August 24, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C70, 32S40.

Key words and phrases. Hyperegeometric functions, Monodromy representation.

group of order 8. This symmetry helps us to find solutions and their integral representations, and to check some formulas. We show in Theorem 2.1 that the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ is holonomic of rank 9 and that its singular locus S consists of the coordinate axes and a nodal cubic curve $R(x) = 0$ triply tangent to them. Under a $(\mathbb{Z}/(3\mathbb{Z}))^2$ covering map, the pull back of S is decomposed into twelve lines in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. These lines together with nine intersection points of them form the Hesse configuration, in which lines and points satisfies that three points per line and four lines through each point. In other words, we also have a holonomic system of rank 9 defined on the complement of the Hesse configuration.

We investigate in [9] the structure of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$, where X is the complement of the singular locus S. We show that it is generated by three loops ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 turning around $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$ and $R(x) = 0$, respectively, and that its is isomorphic to a group generated by three elements with four relations among them. To study the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ in this paper, we use the generating loops ρ_1, ρ_2 and ρ_3 and three relations among them, which characterize an Artin group of infinite type.

We construct a fundamental system of solutions to the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ in Theorem 2.2 by using the series $F\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ B' \end{pmatrix}; x\Big)$ with different parameters a', B'. We give their integral representations of Euler type in §3 by following results in §4 in [6]. We consider the monodromy representation of our system in §5. The problem is the computation of the circuit matrix along the loop ρ_3. We show that it has an eigenvector v of eigenvalue $\lambda(\neq 1)$ and the 8-dimensional eigenspace of eigenvalue 1 under some non-integral conditions on the parameters. We express it as a reflection of root v with respect to an indeterminate form H. By normalizing v to $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and restricting the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ to $x_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, we determine the eigenvalue λ and the form H from the monodromy representation of the generalized hypergeometric differential equation ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2$ as the method for Appell's system $\mathcal{F}_C$ in [13]. Note also that the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ is regular singular by the behavior of its solutions around each component of the singular locus S.

Finally, we compute intersection numbers of twisted cycles given in §3. By these computations, we can conclude that the fundamental system of solutions used in the monodromy representation is given by the integral representations without Gamma factors. As in [7], [8], [12] and [14] for Appell-Lauricella's systems, we express in Theorem 6.2 the circuit transforms in terms of intersection form independent of the choice of fundamental systems of solutions. It is studied in [9] that the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ is irreducible under some non-integral conditions of parameters.

There is a further generalization $F_p^m\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x_1, \dots, x_m\right)$, which can be regarded as an m-variable version of ${}_pF_{p-1}$. This function satisfies a system $\mathcal{F}_p^m\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ of differential equations of rank p^m. We study this function and system in the forthcoming paper [10].

2. A system of hypergeometric differential equations

We define a hypergeometric series of two variables x_1 and x_2 with parameters $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{13} \\ b_{21}, b_{22}, b_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1, b_2, 1 \\ b_3, b_4, 1 \end{pmatrix}$ as

$$F\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}; x\Big) = F\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_{11}, b_{12}, b_{13}; x_1, x_2 \\ b_{21}, b_{22}, b_{23} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^2} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \frac{(a_j, n_1 + n_2)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2} (b_{ij}, n_i)} x^n$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, n_2 = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, n_1 + n_2)(a_2, n_1 + n_2)(a_3, n_1 + n_2)}{(b_1, n_1)(b_2, n_1)(1, n_1)(b_3, n_2)(b_4, n_2)(1, n_2)} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $b_1, \dots, b_4 \notin -\mathbb{N} = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$. Note that this series reduces to ${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{matrix}; x_1\right)$ and to ${}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_3, b_4 \end{matrix}; x_2\right)$ when it is restricted to $x_2 = 0$ and to $x_1 = 0$, respectively. The following proposition is a direct consequence of the formula (29) in §1.3 in [15].

Proposition 2.1. *If x belongs to the domain*

$$\mathbb{D} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \sqrt[3]{|x_1|} + \sqrt[3]{|x_2|} < 1\},$$

then the hypergeometric series (2.1) absolutely converges. If x does not belongs to the closure of $\mathbb{D}$, then it diverges.

Proposition 2.2. *The hypergeometric series (2.1) admits the symmetry:*

$$F\left(\begin{matrix}a\\B\end{matrix};x_1,x_2\right)=F\left(\begin{matrix}a_{\sigma(1)}, & a_{\sigma(2)}, & a_{\sigma(3)}\\ b_{\tau(1),\sigma_1(1)}, & b_{\tau(1),\sigma_1(2)}, & 1\\ b_{\tau(2),\sigma_2(1)}, & b_{\tau(2),\sigma_2(2)}, & 1\end{matrix};x_{\tau(1)},x_{\tau(2)}\right),$$

where σ belongs to the symmetric group S_3 of degree 3, σ_1,σ_2,τ belong to the symmetric group S_2 of degree 2. This symmetry is isomorphic to the direct product $S_3\times D_4$ of S_3 and the dihedral group D_4 of order 8.

Proof. Since the numerator of the right hand side of (2.1) is symmetric with respect to the parameters a_1,a_2,a_3, $F\left(\begin{matrix}a\\B\end{matrix};x\right)$ is invariant under the action $\sigma\in S_3$. We see the action on the parameters $b_1,\dots,b_4$ and the variables x_1,x_2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{100}\cdot(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2) &= (b_2,b_1,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2),\\ \sigma_{010}\cdot(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2) &= (b_1,b_2,b_4,b_3;x_1,x_2),\\ \sigma_{001}\cdot(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2) &= (b_3,b_4,b_1,b_2;x_2,x_1),\end{aligned}$$

for three elements $\sigma_{100},\sigma_{010},\sigma_{001}$ of the triple of S_2 given by $(\sigma_1,\sigma_2;\tau)=((12),\mathrm{id};\mathrm{id}),\ (\mathrm{id},(12);\mathrm{id}),\ (\mathrm{id},\mathrm{id};(12))$. By the symmetry of the right hand side of (2.1), $F\left(\begin{matrix}a\\B\end{matrix};x\right)$ is also invariant under the actions generated by them. We consider the group structure of the triple of S_2. It is clear that σ_{100} and σ_{010} are commutative. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sigma_{100}\sigma_{001})\cdot(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2)=\sigma_{100}\cdot(b_3,b_4,b_1,b_2;x_1,x_2)\\ =&(b_4,b_3,b_1,b_2;x_2,x_1),\\ &(\sigma_{001}\sigma_{100})\cdot(b_1,b_2,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2)=\sigma_{001}\cdot(b_2,b_1,b_3,b_4;x_1,x_2)\\ =&(b_3,b_4,b_2,b_1;x_2,x_1),\end{aligned}$$

These imply that

$$\sigma_{101}=((12),\mathrm{id};(12))=\sigma_{100}\sigma_{001}\neq\sigma_{001}\sigma_{100}=(\mathrm{id},(12);(12))=\sigma_{011}.$$

Since σ_{101} and σ_{011} are of order 4, this group is isomorphic to the dihedral group D_4 of order 8. By this action on $b_1,\dots,b_4$, we have an inclusion of D_4 into the symmetric group S_4 as in Table 1, where elements of S_4 are expressed in terms of cyclic permutations. It is easy to see that the action of S_3 commutes with that of D_4. □

D_4	σ_{000}	σ_{100}	σ_{010}	σ_{110}	σ_{001}	σ_{101}	σ_{011}	σ_{111}
S_4	id	(12)	(34)	(12)(34)	(13)(24)	(1423)	(1324)	(14)(23)

TABLE 1. Inclusion $D_4 \hookrightarrow S_4$

Proposition 2.3. *The function* $F\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)$ *satisfies hypergeometric differential equations*

$$\Big[\prod_{j=1}^{3}(b_{ij}-1+\theta_i)\Big]\cdot f(x) = \Big[x_i\prod_{j=1}^{3}(a_j+\theta_1+\theta_2)\Big]\cdot f(x) \quad (i=1,2),$$

where $f(x)$ *is an unknown function, and* $\theta_i = x_i\partial_i$, $\partial_i = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ $(i=1,2)$.

Proof. We write down the differential equations as

$$\begin{aligned}(2.2)\qquad &\theta_1(b_1-1+\theta_1)(b_2-1+\theta_1)f(x)\\ =&x_1(a_1+\theta_1+\theta_2)(a_2+\theta_1+\theta_2)(a_3+\theta_1+\theta_2)f(x),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(2.3)\qquad &\theta_2(b_3-1+\theta_2)(b_4-1+\theta_2)f(x)\\ =&x_2(a_1+\theta_1+\theta_2)(a_2+\theta_1+\theta_2)(a_3+\theta_1+\theta_2)f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Let us show (2.2). Since

$$\theta_1(b_1-1+\theta_1)(b_2-1+\theta_1)x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2} = n_1(b_1-1+n_1)(b_2-1+n_1)x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2},$$

$$x_1\prod_{i=1}^{3}(a_i+\theta_1+\theta_2)x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2} = \prod_{i=1}^{3}(a_i+n_1+n_2)x_1^{n_1+1}x_2^{n_2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_1(b_1-1+\theta_1)(b_2-1+\theta_1)F\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)\\ =&\sum_{n_1=1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_1,n_1+n_2)(a_2,n_1+n_2)(a_3,n_1+n_2)}{(b_1,n_1-1)(b_2,n_1-1)(1,n_1-1)(b_3,n_2)(b_4,n_2)(1,n_2)}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2},\\ &x_1\prod_{i=1}^{3}(a_i+\theta_1+\theta_2)F\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)\\ =&\sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_1,n_1+n_2+1)(a_2,n_1+n_2+1)(a_3,n_1+n_2+1)}{(b_1,n_1)(b_2,n_1)(1,n_1)(b_3,n_2)(b_4,n_2)(1,n_2)}x_1^{n_1+1}x_2^{n_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that these series coincide. Similarly, we can show (2.3). □

We study the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{F}\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)$ generated by the differential equations (2.2) and (2.3).

Proposition 2.4. *The system* $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ *admits the* $S_3 \times D_4$*-symmetry:*

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x_1, x_2\right) = \mathcal{F}\left(\begin{matrix} a_{\sigma(1)}, & a_{\sigma(2)}, & a_{\sigma(3)} \\ b_{\tau(1),\sigma_1(1)}, & b_{\tau(1),\sigma_1(2)}, & 1 \\ b_{\tau(2),\sigma_2(1)}, & b_{\tau(2),\sigma_2(2)}, & 1 \end{matrix}; x_{\tau(1)}, x_{\tau(2)}\right),$$

for any $\sigma \in S_3$ *and* $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \tau \in S_2$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the differential equations (2.2) and (2.3) are invariant under the action of S_3. They are also invariant under the actions of σ_{100} and σ_{010}, and exchanged by the action of σ_{001}, where σ_{100}, σ_{010} and σ_{001} are given in the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

Theorem 2.1. *The system* $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ *is of rank* 9. *Its singular locus* S *is*

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x_1 x_2 R(x_1, x_2) = 0\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) = R(x_1, x_2) &= \prod_{k_1,k_2=0}^{2} (1 - \omega^{k_1} \sqrt[3]{x_1} - \omega^{k_2} \sqrt[3]{x_2}) \\ &= (1 - x_1 - x_2)^3 - 27 x_1 x_2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\omega = \dfrac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}$.

Proof. We can regard the system as a left ideal I in the Weyl algebra $W_2 = \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, x_2, \partial_1, \partial_2 \rangle$. Any element of W_2 has a canonical form

$$\sum_{(i_1,i_2)} c_{i_1,i_2}(x_1, x_2) \partial_1^{i_1} \partial_2^{i_2}, \quad c_{i_1,i_2}(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2].$$

By replacing ∂_j with ξ_j $(j = 1, 2)$ in canonical forms, we have a natural map from W_2 to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2]$. Then the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_w(I)$ with respect to the weight vector $w = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ is defined as an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2]$. The zero set $V(\mathrm{in}_w(I))$ of the initial ideal in $\mathbb{C}^4$ is called the characteristic variety of I. The singular locus $\mathrm{Sing}(I)$ of the left ideal I is defined by the Zariski closure of the image of $V(\mathrm{in}_w(I)) \setminus \{(x, \xi) \mid \xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0\}$ under the projection $\mathbb{C}^4 \ni (x, \xi) \mapsto$

$x \in \mathbb{C}^2$. It is shown in §1.4 of [16] that $\mathrm{Sing}(I)$ coincides with the zero set $V(J)$ of the polynomial ideal

$$J = (\mathrm{in}_w(I) : \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle^\infty) \cap \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2].$$

Generators of the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_w(I)$ are calculated from the Gröbner basis of I with respect to the weight vector w. We can execute saturation and elimination of the ideal I by using Risa/Asir (a computer algebra system). By this computation, it turns out that $J = \langle x_1^4 x_2^4 R(x) \rangle$. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Sing}(I) = V(J) = V(\sqrt{J}) = V(x_1 x_2 R(x)),$$

where $\sqrt{J}$ is the radical of J.

The holonomic rank of I is defined by

$$\mathrm{rank}(I) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}(x_1,x_2)}(\mathcal{W}_2 / \mathcal{W}_2 I),$$

where $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)\langle \partial_1, \partial_2 \rangle$, and the quotient $\mathcal{W}_2/\mathcal{W}_2 I$ is regraded as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(x_1, x_2)$. With respect to the graded lexicographic order in $\mathcal{W}_2$, a left $\mathcal{W}_2$-ideal $\mathcal{W}_2 I$ has a Gröbner basis whose initial terms are given by $\{\xi_1^5, \xi_1^3\xi_2, \xi_1\xi_2^2, \xi_2^3\}$. Then the vector space $\mathcal{W}_2/\mathcal{W}_2 I$ has a basis $\{1, \partial_1, \partial_1^2, \partial_1^3, \partial_1^4, \partial_2, \partial_1\partial_2, \partial_1^2\partial_2, \partial_2^2\}$ consisting of 9 monomials. Thus the holonomic rank of I is 9. □

Remark 2.1. (1) Since the affine curve $R(x) = 0$ has a nodal singular point $(-1, -1)$, it is rational. In fact, it is expressed by a complex parameter as

$$(x, y) = (t^3, (1-t)^3), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Via this parametrization, $t = -\omega$ and $t = -\omega^2$ correspond to the singular point $(-1, -1)$.

(2) Under the covering map $\mathbb{C}^2 \ni (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2) = (z_1^3, z_2^3) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the pull back of the cubic curve $R(x) = 0$ is decomposed into nine lines

$$1 - \omega^{k_1} z_1 - \omega^{k_2} z_2 = 0, \quad (0 \le k_1, k_2 \le 2).$$

Thus the pull back of the singular locus S consists of these lines together with $z_1 = 0$, $z_2 = 0$ and the line at infinity in the projective plane $\mathbb{P}^2$. Note that there are nine intersection points $[\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2] = [0, 1, -\omega^{k_1}], [1, 0, \omega^{k_1}], [1, \omega^{k_1}, 0]$ $(0 \le k_1 \le 2)$ of two lines of them, where $[\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \zeta_2]$ are the projective coordinates with $(z_1, z_2) = (\zeta_1/\zeta_0, \zeta_2/\zeta_0)$. These twelve lines and the nine points form the Hesse configuration, in which lines and points satisfies that three points per line and four lines through each point.

We set

$$X = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x_1 x_2 R(x_1, x_2) \neq 0\},$$

which is the complement of the singular locus S of the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$. We select a base point $\dot{x} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ in $\mathbb{D} \cap X \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ with $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$, and take a small neighborhood U of $\dot{x}$ in $\mathbb{D} \cap X$.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that*

$$b_1,\ b_2,\ b_3,\ b_4,\ b_1 - b_2,\ b_3 - b_4,\ \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

A fundamental system of solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ *on* U *is given by*

$$F_{jk}(x) = x_1^{1-b_{1j}} x_2^{1-b_{2k}} F\begin{pmatrix} a + (2 - b_{1j} - b_{2k})(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \\ B_1 + (1 - b_{1j})(e_j + e_1 + e_2) \\ B_2 + (1 - b_{2k})(e_k + e_1 + e_2) \end{pmatrix} ; x \tag{2.4}$$

for $1 \leq j, k \leq 3$, *where* e_j *is the* j*-th unit row vector of* $\mathbb{R}^3$, *and the indices* j *and* k *in* $F_{jk}(x)$ *are regarded as elements of* $\{0, 1, 2\} = \mathbb{Z}/(3\mathbb{Z})$. *They are arrayed in the order*

$$(jk) = (00),\ (10),\ (20),\ (01),\ (11),\ (21),\ (02),\ (12),\ (22) \tag{2.5}$$

as

$$F\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2, 1 \\ b_3, b_4, 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_1} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_1 + 1, & a_2 - b_1 + 1, & a_3 - b_1 + 1 \\ 2 - b_1, & b_2 - b_1 + 1, & 1 \\ b_3, & b_4, & 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_2} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_2 + 1, & a_2 - b_2 + 1, & a_3 - b_2 + 1 \\ b_1 - b_2 + 1, & 2 - b_2, & 1 \\ b_3, & b_4, & 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_2^{1-b_3} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_3 + 1, & a_2 - b_3 + 1, & a_3 - b_3 + 1 \\ b_1, & b_2, & 1 \\ 2 - b_3, & b_4 - b_3 + 1, & 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_1} x_2^{1-b_3} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_1 - b_3 + 2, & a_2 - b_1 - b_3 + 2, & a_3 - b_1 - b_3 + 2 \\ 2 - b_1, & b_2 - b_1 + 1, & 1 \\ 2 - b_3, & b_4 - b_3 + 1, & 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_2} x_2^{1-b_3} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_2 - b_3 + 2, & a_2 - b_2 - b_3 + 2, & a_3 - b_2 - b_3 + 2 \\ b_1 - b_2 + 1, & 2 - b_2, & 1 \\ 2 - b_3, & b_4 - b_3 + 1, & 1 \end{pmatrix} ;x\Bigg),$$

$$x_2^{1-b_4} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1-b_4+1, & a_2-b_4+1, & a_3-b_4+1 \\ b_1, & b_2, & 1 \\ b_3-b_4+1, & 2-b_4, & 1 \end{pmatrix};x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_1}x_2^{1-b_4} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1-b_1-b_4+2, & a_2-b_1-b_4+2, & a_3-b_1-b_4+2 \\ 2-b_1, & b_2-b_1+1, & 1 \\ b_3-b_4+1, & 2-b_4, & 1 \end{pmatrix};x\Bigg),$$

$$x_1^{1-b_2}x_2^{1-b_4} F\begin{pmatrix} a_1-b_2-b_4+2, & a_2-b_2-b_4+2, & a_3-b_2-b_4+2 \\ b_1-b_2+1, & 2-b_2, & 1 \\ b_3-b_4+1, & 2-b_4, & 1 \end{pmatrix};x\Bigg).$$

Proof. We can show that these functions are solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ by using properties

$$\prod_{i=1}^{3}(a_i+\theta_1+\theta_2)x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}} = x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}}\prod_{i=1}^{3}(a_i-b_{1j}-b_{2k}+2+\theta_1+\theta_2),$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{3}(b_{1i}-1+\theta_1)x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}} = x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}}\prod_{i=1}^{3}(b_{1i}-b_{1j}+\theta_1),$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{3}(b_{2i}-1+\theta_2)x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}} = x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}}\prod_{i=1}^{3}(b_{2i}-b_{2k}+\theta_2),$$

as elements of the (extended) Weyl algebra. Since the power functions $x_1^{1-b_{1j}}x_2^{1-b_{2k}}$ are mutually different under our assumption, these functions are linearly independent. □

Recall that the series $F\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix};x\Bigg)$ and the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix};x\Bigg)$ are invariant under the action of $S_3 \times D_4$.

Proposition 2.5. *The group $S_3 \times D_4$ acts on the fundamental system (2.4) of solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ via the change of parameters and variables in Proposition 2.2. There are three orbits $\{F_{00}(x)\}$,*

$$\{F_{10}(x), F_{20}(x), F_{01}(x), F_{02}(x)\}, \quad \{F_{11}(x), F_{21}(x), F_{12}(x), F_{22}(x)\}.$$

Proof. We have only to consider actions of D_4 on B and x. It is easy to see that every $F_{jk}(x)$ $(0 \le j,k \le 2)$ is changed into one of (2.4). By Proposition 2.2, $F_{00}(x)$ is invariant under this action. By the actions σ_{100}, σ_{001} and σ_{101} on $F_{10}(x)$, it changes into $F_{20}(x)$, $F_{01}(x)$ and $F_{02}(x)$, respectively; see Table 1. For the orbit of $F_{11}(x)$, act σ_{100}, σ_{010} and σ_{110} on F_{11}. □

Corollary 2.1. *We set* $y = (y_1, y_2) = (\dfrac{-x_1}{x_2}, \dfrac{1}{x_2})$*, and suppose that*

$$b_1,\ b_2,\ b_1 - b_2,\ a_1 - a_2,\ a_1 - a_3,\ a_2 - a_3 \notin \mathbb{Z}.$$

There are nine solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ *around a point* $\dot{y} \in X$ *near to* $y = (0, 0)$ *as follows:*

$$y_2^{a_i} F\left(\begin{matrix} a_i, & a_i - b_3 + 1, & a_i - b_4 + 1 \\ b_1, & b_2, & 1 \\ a_i - a_j + 1, & a_i - a_k + 1, & 1 \end{matrix}; y\right),$$

$$y_1^{1-b_1} y_2^{a_i} F\left(\begin{matrix} a_i - b_1 + 1, & a_i - b_1 - b_3 + 2, & a_i - b_1 - b_4 + 2 \\ 2 - b_1, & b_2 - b_1 + 1, & 1 \\ a_i - a_j + 1, & a_i - a_k + 1, & 1 \end{matrix}; y\right),$$

$$y_1^{1-b_2} y_2^{a_i} F\left(\begin{matrix} a_i - b_2 + 1, & a_i - b_2 - b_3 + 2, & a_i - b_2 - b_4 + 2 \\ b_1 - b_2 + 1, & 2 - b_2, & 1 \\ a_i - a_j + 1, & a_i - a_k + 1, & 1 \end{matrix}; y\right),$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ *and* $\{j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i\}$ *as a set.*

Proof. Since $y_1 = -x_1/x_2$, $y_2 = 1/x_2$, we have

$$x_1\partial_1 = x_1\left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\right) = -\frac{x_1}{x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1},$$
$$x_2\partial_2 = x_2\left(\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}\right) = \frac{x_1}{x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} - \frac{1}{x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}.$$

Thus the operators $\theta_{y_1} = y_1\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_1}$ and $\theta_{y_2} = y_2\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y_2}$ relate θ_1 and θ_2 as

$$\theta_1 = \theta_{y_1}, \quad \theta_2 = -(\theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2}).$$

Note that the system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ is generated by the equation (2.3) and

$$x_2\theta_1(b_1 - 1 + \theta_1)(b_2 - 1 + \theta_1)f(x) = x_1\theta_2(b_3 - 1 + \theta_2)(b_4 - 1 + \theta_2)f(x).$$

We express these differential equations in terms of θ_{y_1} and θ_{y_2}:

$$\begin{aligned}&(-a_1 + \theta_{y_2})(-a_2 + \theta_{y_2})(-a_3 + \theta_{y_2})f(y)\\ =&y_2(\theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(-b_3 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(-b_4 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})f(y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_{y_1}(b_1 - 1 + \theta_{y_1})(b_2 - 1 + \theta_{y_1})f(y)\\ =&y_1(\theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(-b_3 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(-b_4 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})f(y).\end{aligned}$$

Substitute $f(y) = y_2^{\mu} g(y)$ into these differential equations. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mu - a_1 + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - a_2 + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - a_3 + \theta_{y_2})g(y)\\ =&y_2(\mu + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - b_3 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - b_4 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})g(y),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_{y_1}(b_1 - 1 + \theta_{y_1})(b_2 - 1 + \theta_{y_1})g(y)\\ =&y_1(\mu + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - b_3 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})(\mu - b_4 + 1 + \theta_{y_1} + \theta_{y_2})g(y).\end{aligned}$$

If $\mu = a_i$ and $g(y) = F\begin{pmatrix} a_i, & a_i - b_3 + 1, & a_i - b_4 + 1 \\ b_1, & b_2, & 1 \\ a_i - a_j + 1, & a_i - a_k + 1, & 1 \end{pmatrix}; y$ then $y_2^{\mu} g(y)$ satisfies these differential equations. To obtain the rests, consider the series with exponents $y_1^{1-b_1}$ and $y_1^{1-b_2}$ in Theorem 2.2. □

3. Integral representations of Euler type

Theorem 3.1. *The hypergeometric series* $F\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}; x$ *admits the integral representation of Euler type:*

$$C_{00} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{00}} u(t,x)dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where $u(t,x)$ *is*

$$\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{4} t_j^{-b_j}\Big)(1-t_1-t_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}(1-t_2-t_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2}\Big(1-\frac{x_1}{t_1t_2}-\frac{x_2}{t_3t_4}\Big)^{-a_3},$$

$dt = dt_1 \wedge dt_3 \wedge dt_2 \wedge dt_4$, *and the gamma factor* C_{00} *is*

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-a_1)\Gamma(1-a_2)}{\Gamma(1-b_1)\Gamma(1-b_3)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(1-b_2)\Gamma(1-b_4)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)}.$$

Here Δ_{00} *is a 4-chain* $\mathrm{reg}_{00}^{c}(\triangle_1 \times \triangle_2)$ *of the regularization of the direct product of triangles*

$$\triangle_1 = \{(t_1,t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_1 > 0,\ t_3 > 0,\ 1 - t_1 - t_3 > 0\},$$
$$\triangle_2 = \{(t_2,t_4) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_2 > 0,\ t_4 > 0,\ 1 - t_2 - t_4 > 0\},$$

associated with

$$u(t,0,0) = t_1^{-b_1}t_3^{-b_3}(1-t_1-t_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}t_2^{-b_2}t_4^{-b_4}(1-t_2-t_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2},$$

and $x = (x_1, x_2)$ *is supposed to be so close to* $(0,0)$ *that the hypersurface*

$$Q = \{t \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid t_1t_2t_3t_4 - t_3t_4x_1 - t_1t_2x_2 = 0\}$$

does not intersect with any component of Δ_{00}.

Remark 3.1. (1) We set

$$\ell_{ij}(t) = 1 - t_i - t_j \ (1 \le i < j \le 4), \quad q(t) = 1 - \frac{x_1}{t_1 t_2} - \frac{x_2}{t_3 t_4},$$

and

$$T = \{t \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 \ell_{13}(t)\ell_{24}(t)q(t) \neq 0\}, \tag{3.2}$$

which depends on $x \in \mathbb{C}^2$. For any fixed $x \in X$, a locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{L}_u$ on T is given by $u(t, x)$. Twisted homology groups $H_k(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ are defined from a complex of chains with sections of $\mathcal{L}_u$. Similarly, locally finite ones $H_k^{lf}(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ are defined. It is known that the natural map from $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ to $H_4^{lf}(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ becomes isomorphic under some non-integral conditions on the parameters. In this case, the regularization reg is defined by its inverse. It is different from the regularization reg_{00} in the construction of Δ_{00}, since the function $u(t, 0, 0)$ is used. However, by loading a branch of $u(t, x)$ on every component of Δ_{00}, we have an element Δ_{00}^u of $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ for any x near to $(0, 0)$. We can also make the continuation of any element $\Delta^u \in H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ along a path in X starting from $\dot{x} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$.

(2) We can construct $\mathrm{reg}_{00}^c(\triangle_1 \times \triangle_2)$ as the direct product of 2-chains given by the regularizations of the triangles

$$\triangle_1 = \{(t_1, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_1 > 0,\ t_3 > 0,\ 1 - t_1 - t_3 > 0\},$$
$$\triangle_2 = \{(t_2, t_4) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid t_2 > 0,\ t_4 > 0,\ 1 - t_2 - t_4 > 0\},$$

associated with

$$u_1 = t_1^{-b_1} t_3^{-b_3} (1 - t_1 - t_3)^{b_1 + b_3 - a_1 - 2},$$
$$u_2 = t_2^{-b_2} t_4^{-b_4} (1 - t_2 - t_4)^{b_2 + b_4 - a_2 - 2},$$

see Figure 1. Refer to §3.2.4 of [1] and §2 in [8] for an explicit construction of the regularization. If x is close to $(0, 0)$, then the hypersurface Q passes through the tuber neighborhood of the hyperplanes $t_1 = 0, \ldots, t_4 = 0$, which is made in the construction of the regularization of $\triangle_1 \times \triangle_2$. Thus we can assume that

$$\Big|\frac{x_1}{t_1 t_2} + \frac{x_2}{t_3 t_4}\Big| < 1$$

for any t in each component of Δ_{00}.



FIGURE 1. Regularizations of $\triangle_i$ ($i = 1, 2$, $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$)

Proof. Since x is close to $(0, 0)$, the factor including x_1 and x_2 admits the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(1 - \frac{x_1}{t_1t_2} - \frac{x_2}{t_3t_4}\Big)^{-a_3} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_3, N)}{N!}\Big(\frac{x_1}{t_1t_2} + \frac{x_2}{t_3t_4}\Big)^N \\
&= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_3, N)}{N!} \sum_{n_1+n_2=N} \binom{N}{n_1} \Big(\frac{x_1}{t_1t_2}\Big)^{n_1} \Big(\frac{x_2}{t_3t_4}\Big)^{n_2} \\
&= \sum_{n_1,n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_3, n_1+n_2)}{n_1!n_2!} (t_1t_2)^{-n_1}(t_3t_4)^{-n_2} \cdot x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Remark 3.1 (2), this expansion is valid on each component of Δ_{00}. Change the order of the summation and the integration. The coefficient of $x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}$ in the integration (without the gamma factor C_{00}) is the product of

$$\int_{\triangle_1} t_1^{-b_1-n_1} t_3^{-b_3-n_2} (1 - t_1 - t_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2} dt_1 \wedge dt_3$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(1-b_1-n_1)\Gamma(1-b_3-n_2)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)}{\Gamma(1-a_1-n_1-n_2)}$$

and

$$\int_{\triangle_2} t_2^{-b_2-n_1} t_4^{-b_4-n_2} (1-t_2-t_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2} dt_2 \wedge dt_4$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(1-b_2-n_1)\Gamma(1-b_4-n_2)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)}{\Gamma(1-a_2-n_1-n_2)}.$$

By using the formulas $(\alpha, m)\Gamma(\alpha) = \Gamma(\alpha+m)$, and $\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha) = \dfrac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}$, we rewrite $\Gamma(1-b_1-n_1)$ to

$$\Gamma(1-b_1-n_1) = \frac{\pi}{(-1)^{n_1}\sin(\pi b_1)\Gamma(b_1)(b_1,n_1)} = \frac{\Gamma(1-b_1)}{(-1)^{n_1}(b_1,n_1)}.$$

Similarly we have

$$\Gamma(1-b_3-n_2) = \frac{\Gamma(1-b_3)}{(-1)^{n_2}(b_3,n_2)},$$
$$\Gamma(1-a_1-n_1-n_2) = \frac{\Gamma(1-a_1)}{(-1)^{n_1+n_2}(a_1,n_1+n_2)},$$

which yield

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-b_1-n_1)\Gamma(1-b_3-n_2)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)}{\Gamma(1-a_1-n_1-n_2)}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(1-b_1)\Gamma(1-b_3)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)}{\Gamma(1-a_1)} \cdot \frac{(a_1,n_1+n_2)}{(b_1,n_1)(b_3,n_2)}.$$

Since the other gamma factor is

$$\frac{\Gamma(1-b_2)\Gamma(1-b_4)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)}{\Gamma(1-a_2)} \cdot \frac{(a_2,n_1+n_2)}{(b_2,n_1)(b_4,n_2)},$$

the integral representation is obtained. □

Remark 3.2. By acting the group $S_3 \times D_4$ on (3.1), we have 48 integral representations of $F\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)$.

Corollary 3.1. *The Euler number $\chi(T)$ is nine for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. Thanks to Theorem 1 in [3], only the 4-th twisted homology $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ survives. Thus $\chi(T)$ is equal to the rank of $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$. There is a natural isomorphism from this homology group to the vector space of local solutions to $\mathcal{F}\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}\right)$ around x. Hence we have $\chi(T) = 9$ for any $x \in X$ by Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 3.2. *The solution $F_{10}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2 is expressed as*

$$C_{10}\int_{\Delta_{10}} u(t,x)dt,$$

where the gamma factor C_{10} is

$$\frac{\Gamma(b_1-a_1)\Gamma(b_1-a_2)\Gamma(b_1-a_3)}{\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)\Gamma(1-a_3)}$$
$$\cdot\frac{1}{\Gamma(b_1-1)\Gamma(b_1-b_2)\Gamma(1-b_3)\Gamma(1-b_4)},$$

and Δ_{10} is the image of $-\mathrm{reg}^c_{10}(\square_1\times\triangle_2)$ under the map

$$\imath_{10}:(s_1,s_2,s_3,s_4)\mapsto(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)=(\frac{x_1}{s_1s_2},s_2,s_3,s_4).$$

Here $\mathrm{reg}^c_{10}(\square_1\times\triangle_2)$ is a 4-chain of the regularization of the direct product of

$$\square_1=\{(s_1,s_3)\in\mathbb{R}^2\mid 0<s_1<1,\ 0<s_3<1\}$$

and $\triangle_2$ associated with

$$s_1^{b_1-2}(1-s_1)^{-a_3}s_3^{-b_3}(1-s_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}\cdot s_2^{b_1-b_2-1}s_4^{-b_4}(1-s_2-s_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2},$$

and the point $x=(x_1,x_2)$ is supposed to be so close to $(0,0)$ that the pull back hypersurface $\imath_{10}^*Q$ does not intersect with any component of $\mathrm{reg}^c_{10}(\square_1\times\triangle_2)$.

Proof. Apply the variable change $t=\imath_{10}(s)$ to $\int_{\Delta_{10}}u(t,x)dt$ with paying attention to the signs in $\Delta_{01}=\imath_{10}(-\mathrm{reg}^c_{10}(\square_1\times\triangle_2))$ and $\imath_{10}^*(dt)$. Then it changes into

$$x_1^{1-b_1}\int_{\mathrm{reg}^c_{10}(\square_1\times\triangle_2)} s_1^{b_1-2}s_2^{b_1-b_2-1}s_3^{-b_3}s_4^{-b_4}(1-s_1-\frac{x_2}{s_3s_4})^{-a_3}$$
$$\cdot(1-\frac{x_1}{s_1s_2}-s_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}(1-s_2-s_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2}ds,$$

where $ds=ds_1\wedge ds_3\wedge ds_2\wedge ds_4$. Expand the factors including x_1 and x_2. Then we have

$$(1-s_3-\frac{x_1}{s_1s_2})^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}=\{(1-s_3)\cdot(1-\frac{x_1}{(1-s_3)s_1s_2})\}^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}$$
$$=(1-s_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2}\sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_1-b_1-b_3+2,n_1)}{n_1!}\frac{x_1^{n_1}}{(1-s_3)^{n_1}s_1^{n_1}s_2^{n_1}},$$

$$(1-s_1-\frac{x_2}{s_3s_4})^{-a_3} = (1-s_1)^{-a_3}\cdot(1-\frac{x_2}{(1-s_1)s_3s_4})^{-a_3}$$
$$= (1-s_1)^{-a_3}\sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a_3,n_2)}{n_2!}\frac{x_2^{n_2}}{(1-s_1)^{n_2}s_3^{n_2}s_4^{n_2}}.$$

Thus the integrand is

$$\sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{N}^2} x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\frac{(a_1-b_1-b_3+2,n_1)}{n_1!}\frac{(a_3,n_2)}{n_2!}$$
$$\cdot s_1^{b_1-2-n_1}(1-s_1)^{-a_3-n_2}s_3^{-b_3-n_2}(1-s_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2-n_1}$$
$$\cdot s_2^{b_1-b_2-1-n_1}s_4^{-b_4-n_2}(1-s_2-s_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2}.$$

Integrate this over $\mathrm{reg}_{10}^c(\square_1\times\triangle_2)$, then we have

$$\sum_{(n_1,n_2)\in\mathbb{N}^2} x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}\frac{(a_1-b_1-b_3+2,n_1)}{n_1!}\frac{(a_3,n_2)}{n_2!}$$
$$\cdot\frac{\Gamma(b_1-1-n_1)\Gamma(-a_3-n_2+1)}{\Gamma(b_1-a_3-n_1-n_2)}$$
$$\cdot\frac{\Gamma(-b_3-n_2+1)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-n_1-1)}{\Gamma(b_1-a_1-n_1-n_2)}$$
$$\cdot\frac{\Gamma(b_1-b_2-n_1)\Gamma(-b_4-n_2+1)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)}{\Gamma(b_1-a_2-n_1-n_2)}.$$

By rewriting each Gamma factor including $-n_1$, $-n_2$, $-n_1-n_2$ into the product of the Gamma factor and Pochhammer's symbol, we have the second solution in Theorem 2.2. Here note that Pochhammer's symbols $(a_1-b_1-b_3+2,n_1)$ and (a_3,n_2) are canceled with $\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1-n_1)$ and $\Gamma(-a_3-n_2+1)$. □

Remark 3.3. (1) A twisted cycle Δ_{10}^u is defined by the pair of Δ_{10} and a branch of $u(t,x)$ on it.

(2) We can construct $\mathrm{reg}_{10}^c(\square_1\times\triangle_2)$ as the direct product of 2-chains given by the regularizations of $\square_1$ and $\triangle_2$ associated with

$$u_1' = s_1^{b_1-2}(1-s_1)^{-a_3}s_3^{-b_3}(1-s_3)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2},$$
$$u_2' = s_2^{b_1-b_2-1}s_4^{-b_4}(1-s_2-s_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2},$$

see Figure 2 for the regularization of $\square_1$.

(3) By acting $((12),\sigma_{110})\in S_3\times D_4$ on Corollary 3.2, and using the variable change $(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4)\mapsto(t_2,t_1,t_4,t_3)$, we have an Euler



FIGURE 2. Regularization of $\square_1$

type integral

$$C_{20} \int_{\Delta_{20}} u(t,x)dt$$

of the solution $F_{20}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2. A twisted cycle Δ^u_{20} is defined by the pair of Δ_{20} and a branch of $u(t,x)$ on it.

(4) We have Euler type integrals

$$C_{0k} \int_{\Delta_{0k}} u(t,x)dt \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

of the solutions $F_{0k}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2, and twisted cycles Δ^u_{0k} by the action $(\mathrm{id}, \sigma_{001}) \in S_3 \times D_4$ and the variable change $t \mapsto (t_3, t_4, t_1, t_2)$ to those of $F_{k0}(x)$.

Let $\imath_{11}$ be an involution given by

$$\imath_{11} : T \ni (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \mapsto t = \Big(\frac{x_1}{s_1 s_2}, s_2, \frac{x_2}{s_3 s_4}, s_4\Big) \in T.$$

Note that

$$\imath_{11}^*(\ell_{13}(t)) = q(s), \quad \imath_{11}^*(\ell_{24}(t)) = \ell_{24}(s), \quad \imath_{11}^*(q(t)) = \ell_{13}(s).$$

We define a 4-chain Δ_{11} as the image of $\mathrm{reg}^c_{11}(\triangle_1 \times \triangle_2)$ associated with

$$s_1^{b_1-2} s_3^{b_3-2} (1-s_1-s_3)^{-a_3} \cdot s_2^{b_1-b_2-1} s_4^{b_3-b_4-1} (1-s_2-s_4)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2}$$

under the involution $\imath_{11}$.

Corollary 3.3. *The solution $F_{11}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2 is expressed as*

$$C_{11} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{11}} u(t,x)dt,$$

where C_{11} is

$$C_{11} = \frac{\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_3-1)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_2-1)}{\Gamma(b_1-1)\Gamma(b_3-1)\Gamma(1-a_3)\Gamma(b_1-b_2)\Gamma(b_3-b_4)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)}.$$

Proof. By the variable change $\imath_{11}$, the integral changes to

$$C_{11} \cdot x_1^{1-b_1} x_2^{1-b_3} \int_{\mathrm{reg}^c_{11}(\triangle_1 \times \triangle_2)} s_1^{b_1-2} s_2^{b_1-b_2-1} s_3^{b_3-2} s_4^{b_3-b_4-1} \cdot \ell_{13}(s)^{-a_3} q(s)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2} \ell_{24}(s)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2} ds.$$

We have only to consider the change of parameters. Here note that $F\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ B \end{matrix}; x\right)$ is symmetric with respect to a_1, a_2, a_3. □

Remark 3.4.
(1) A twisted cycle Δ^u_{11} is defined by the pair of Δ_{11} and a branch of $u(t,x)$ on it.
(2) By using the action of $\sigma_{110} \in D_4$ on Corollary 3.3, we have an Euler type integral of the solution $F_{22}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2. Its integrand can be transformed into $u(t,x)$ by the variable change $t \mapsto (t_3, t_4, t_1, t_2)$, and a twisted cycle Δ^u_{22} is obtained.

Let $\imath_{21}$ be the variable change

$$\imath_{21} : (s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) \mapsto t = \left(s_1, \frac{x_1}{s_1 s_2}, \frac{x_2}{s_3 s_4}, s_3\right) \in T.$$

We define a 4-chain Δ_{21} as the image of $-\mathrm{reg}^c_{21}(\square_1 \times \triangle_2)$ associated with

$$s_1^{b_2-b_1-1}(1-s_1)^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2} s_3^{b_3-b_4-1}(1-s_3)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2} \cdot s_2^{b_2-2} s_4^{b_3-2}(1-s_2-s_4)^{-a_3}$$

under the map $\imath_{21}$.

Corollary 3.4. *The solution $F_{21}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2 is expressed as*

$$C_{21} \cdot \int_{\Delta_{21}} u(t,x)dt,$$

where the gamma factor C_{21} is

$$\frac{\Gamma(b_2+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_3-a_2-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_3-a_3-1)}{\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)\Gamma(1-a_3)} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(b_2-1)\Gamma(b_3-1)\Gamma(b_2-b_1)\Gamma(b_3-b_4)}.$$

Proof. Apply the variable change $\imath_{21}$ to the integral with paying attention to the signs in $\Delta_{21} = \imath_{21}(-\mathrm{reg}^c_{21}(\square_1 \times \triangle_2))$ and $\imath^*_{21}(dt)$. Then it becomes

$$x_1^{1-b_2}x_2^{1-b_3}\int_{\mathrm{reg}^c_{21}(\square_1\times\triangle_2)} s_1^{b_2-b_1-1}s_2^{b_2-2}s_3^{b_3-b_4-1}s_4^{b_3-2}(1-s_1-\frac{x_2}{s_3s_4})^{b_1+b_3-a_1-2} \cdot (1-\frac{x_1}{s_1s_2}-s_3)^{b_2+b_4-a_2-2}(1-s_2-s_4)^{-a_3}ds.$$

To express this integral by the hypergeometric function, follow the proof of Corollary 3.2. □

Remark 3.5. (1) A twisted cycle Δ^u_{21} is defined by the pair of Δ_{21} and a branch of $u(t,x)$ on it.

(2) By using the action of $\sigma_{110} \in D_4$ on Corollary 3.3, we have an Euler type integral of the solution $F_{12}(x)$ in Theorem 2.2. Its integrand can be transformed into $u(t,x)$ by the variable change $t \mapsto (t_3, t_4, t_1, t_2)$, and a twisted cycle Δ^u_{12} is obtained.

4. Fundamental group of the complement of the singular locus

Recall that the base point $\dot{x} = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2) \in X$ is chosen so that ε_1 and ε_2 are small positive real numbers satisfying $0 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_1$. Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be loops given by

$$\rho_1 : [0,1] \ni r \mapsto (\varepsilon_1 \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}r), \varepsilon_2) \in X,$$
$$\rho_2 : [0,1] \ni r \mapsto (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}r)) \in X.$$

Let L be the complex line passing through $(0,0)$ and $\dot{x}$, which is expressed as

$$L = \{(\varepsilon_1 r, \varepsilon_2 r) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid r \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

We regard $r \in \mathbb{C}$ as a coordinate of L. The intersection of L and the curve $S_3 : R(x) = 0$ consists of three points P_1, P_2, P_3. Let P_1 be the point whose coordinate $r_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ is real. Let ρ_3 be a loop in L starting from $\dot{x}$, approaching P_1 along the real axis, turning once around P_1 positively, and tracing back to $\dot{x}$.

We use the same symbol ρ for the element of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$ represented by a loop ρ with terminal $\dot{x}$. The structure of

$\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$ is studied in [9]. It is shown that these loops generate $\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$, and that it is isomorphic to a group generated by three elements with four relations among them. In this paper, we use a relation

$$\rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 = \rho_2 \cdot \rho_1$$

and that in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The element*

$$\rho_3 \cdot (\rho_2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-1}) \cdot (\rho_2^2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-2})$$

commutes with ρ_2 as elements of $\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$, where $\rho_2 \cdot \rho_3$ is the loop joining ρ_2 to ρ_3. Moreover, this loop is homotopic to the loop

$$\rho_2' : [0,1] \ni r \mapsto (1+(\varepsilon_1-1)\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}r), 0) \in \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$$

in the space

$$\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x_1 R(x_1, x_2) \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let the line L move along the loop ρ_2. By tracing the deformation of ρ_3, we can show that ρ_3 is changed into a loop turning around once the point P_2 positively. Since the base point $\dot{x}$ is moved along ρ_2, this loop is equal to $\rho_2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-1}$. Similarly, we can show that $\rho_2^2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-2}$ is a loop turning around once the point P_3 positively. Thus the loop $\rho_3 \cdot (\rho_2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-1}) \cdot (\rho_2^2 \cdot \rho_3 \cdot \rho_2^{-2})$ turns around once the all points P_1, P_2 and P_3 positively. If we deform the line L to $x_2 = 0$, then P_1, P_2 and P_3 confluent to the point $(1, 0)$. Hence we can see that this loop is homotopic to ρ_2'. It is clear that ρ_2' commutes with ρ_2 since ρ_2' is in the line $x_2 = 0$. □

Remark 4.1. The relation

$$\rho_2 \cdot [\rho_3(\rho_2\rho_3\rho_2^{-1})(\rho_2^2\rho_3\rho_2^{-2})] = [\rho_3(\rho_2\rho_3\rho_2^{-1})(\rho_2^2\rho_3\rho_2^{-2})] \cdot \rho_2$$

is equivalent to

$$(\rho_2\rho_3)^3 = (\rho_3\rho_2)^3$$

as elements of $\pi_1(X, \dot{x})$. We also have

$$(\rho_1\rho_3)^3 = (\rho_3\rho_1)^3.$$

An Artin group of infinite type is defined by three generators ϱ_1, ϱ_2 and ϱ_3 together with three relations among them:

$$\varrho_2\varrho_1 = \varrho_1\varrho_2, \quad (\varrho_3\varrho_1)^3 = (\varrho_1\varrho_3)^3, \quad (\varrho_3\varrho_2)^3 = (\varrho_2\varrho_3)^3.$$

5. Monodromy representation

In this section, we assume that

$$\begin{array}{l} a_i,\ \ b_j,\ b_k,\ b_1-b_2,\ b_3-b_4,\ a_i-b_j,\ a_i-b_k, \\ a_i-b_j-b_k,\ a_1+a_2+a_3-b_1-b_2-b_3-b_4 \end{array} \notin \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.1}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 3, 4$. Note that the condition (5.1) is stable under the action of $S_3 \times D_4$.

We array the fundamental system of solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ on U in Theorem 2.2 as a column vector

$$\mathbf{F}^g(x) = {}^t\big(g_{00}F_{00}(x), \dots, g_{jk}F_{jk}(x), \dots\big)$$

in the order (2.5), where g_{jk} are non-zero constants. Let M_i^g $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ be the circuit matrix along ρ_i with respect to this vector valued function. That is, this vector valued function is transformed into

$$M_i^g \mathbf{F}^g(x)$$

by the analytic continuation along the loop ρ_i.

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} M_1^g &= \mathrm{diag}(1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}, 1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}, 1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}), \\ M_2^g &= \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $g = (g_{00}, g_{10}, \dots, g_{22})$, *where* $\beta_j = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}b_j}$ $(j = 1, \dots, 4)$ *and* $\mathrm{diag}(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ *denotes the diagonal matrix of size* m *with diagonal entries* $c_1, \dots, c_m$.

Proof. It is a direct consequences of Theorem 2.2 that the circuit matrices M_1 and M_2 take the forms in this lemma. □

The essential problem is the determination of the circuit matrix M_3^g. At first, we study eigenspaces of M_3^g.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that the circuit matrix* M_3^g *is diagonalizable. Then its eigenvalues are* 1 *and* $\lambda(\neq 1)$. *The* λ*-eigenspace is* 1 *dimensional and the* 1*-eigenspace is* 8 *dimensional.*

Proof. We construct a vanishing cycle $\mathbb{O}$ when $x = (x_1, x_2) \in X$ approaches to $P_1 = (x_1', x_2') \in L \cap S$ along a part of the loop ρ_3. The integral $\int_{\mathbb{O}} u(t, x)dt$ becomes a λ-eigenvector.

We regard the space T in (3.2) as a fiber bundle over the base (t_1, t_3)-space with the fiber (t_2, t_4)-space. At first, we fix x and a generic (t_1, t_3). Let $\mathbb{O}_{24}$ be the real 2-dimensional chamber surrounded by $\ell_{24}(t) = 0$ and $q(t) = 0$ in the (t_2, t_4)-space and including $\triangle$ in Figure 1. By

moving (t_1, t_3) in a 2-chain, we construct a locally finite 4-chain as a family of $\mathbb{O}_{24}$ over (t_1, t_3). Note that $\ell_{24}(t) = 0$ is tangent to $q(t) = 0$ if and only if $R_2(x_1/t_1, x_2/t_3) = 0$, where

$$R_2(y_1, y_2) = (1 - y_1 - y_2)^2 - 4y_1y_2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 + 1 - 2y_1y_2 - 2y_1 - 2y_2.$$

Since $t_1 \neq 0$ and $t_3 \neq 0$ in the base space, the condition $R_2(x_1/t_1, x_2/t_3) = 0$ is equivalent to

$$q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = (t_1t_3 - x_1t_3 - x_2t_1)^2 - 4x_1x_2t_1t_3 = 0.$$

Note that the chamber $\mathbb{O}_{24}$ vanishes on this curve for the fixed (x_1, x_2) in the base space (t_1, t_3). It is easy to see that this quartic curve in $\mathbb{C}^2$ has a cusp singular point at $(t_1, t_3) = (0, 0)$ for any $x \in X$ and that this curve intersects the line $t_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 3)$ only at $(0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We consider the intersection of $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ and line $L_{13} : t_1 + t_3 = 1$. By eliminating t_3 from $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ and $t_1 + t_3 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &t_1^4 - 2(x_1 - x_2 + 1)t_1^3 + (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1 + 2x_1x_2 + 4x_1 - 2x_2)t_1^2 \\ &- 2x_1(x_1 + x_2 + 1)t_1 + x_1^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since its discriminant with respect to t_1 is

$$256x_1^3x_2^3R(x_1, x_2),$$

$q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ and $t_1 + t_3 = 1$ intersect at four distinct points for any $x \in X$; see Figure 3.

We set

$$\mathbb{O} = \bigcup_{(t_1,t_3)\in\mathbb{O}_{13}} \mathbb{O}_{24}, \tag{5.2}$$

where the region $\mathbb{O}_{13}$ in the (t_1, t_3)-space is surrounded by the line $t_1 + t_3 = 0$ and $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$, see Figure 3. We claim that this is a cycle as a locally finite chain. Its boundary consists of

$$\bigcup_{(t_1,t_3)\in\partial\mathbb{O}_{13}} \mathbb{O}_{24}, \qquad \bigcup_{(t_1,t_3)\in\mathbb{O}_{13}} \partial\mathbb{O}_{24}.$$

Since $\mathbb{O}_{24}$ is a locally finite cycle, $\partial\mathbb{O}_{24} = 0$. The boundary component of $\mathbb{O}_{13}$ in $t_1 + t_3 = 1$ vanishes as a locally finite chain. Since $\mathbb{O}_{24}$ vanishes over the boundary component of $\mathbb{O}_{13}$ in $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$, $\bigcup_{(t_1,t_3)\in\partial\mathbb{O}_{13}} \mathbb{O}_{24} = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{O}$ is a locally finite cycle.

We consider the limit as x to $P_1 = (x_1', x_2')$. If $x = x'$ then the line $t_1 + t_3 = 1$ tangents to $q_4(t_1, t_3, x') = 0$ and we can show that $\mathbb{O}_{13}$ vanishes; see Figure 4. Hence $\mathbb{O}$ is a required cycle.

By tracing the movement of $\mathbb{O}$ along the loop ρ_3, we see that the deformed $\mathbb{O}$ coincides with the initial $\mathbb{O}$. Since the branch of $u(t, x)$



FIGURE 3. $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ in (t_1, t_3) space

changes by the continuation along ρ_3, the integral $\int_{\mathbb{O}} u(t,x)dt$ is multiplied $\lambda \neq 1$ under suitable non-integrable conditions on the parameters a and B. Thus the circuit matrix M_3^g has an eigenvalue λ different from 1.

Finally, we show that the 1-eigenspace of M_3^g is 8 dimensional. Let $\mathrm{Sol}(U_{P_1})$ be the vector space of holomorphic solutions to $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$, which can be extended to a neighborhood U_{P_1} of $P_1 = (x_1', x_2')$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$. It is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{Sol}(U_{P_1})$ is 8 dimensional. By following the proof of Corollary 3.1, we can show that $\dim \mathrm{Sol}(U_{P_1})$ is equal to the Euler number $\chi(T')$ of the space T' for $x = (x_1', x_2')$. On the other hand, we have $\chi(T) = 9$ for any $x \in X$ by Corollary 3.1. Since the space T' loses a 4-chain $\mathbb{O}$ homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ from the space T, we have $\chi(T') = 9 - 1 = 8$. □

Remark 5.1. For a generic point x of $R(x) = 0$, $q_4(t_1, 1 - t_1, x) = 0$ has a double root and two simple roots. At the node $(x_1, x_2) = (-1, -1)$ of $R(x) = 0$, $q_4(t_1, 1 - t_1, x) = 0$ has two double roots, and



FIGURE 4. $q_4(t_1, t_3, x') = 0$ in (t_1, t_3) space

$t_1 + t_3 = 1$ becomes a bi-tangent of $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ with tangent points $(t_1, t_3) = (-\omega, -\omega^2), (-\omega^2, -\omega)$. For $x = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, $q_4(t_1, t_3, x) = 0$ degenerates to a product of duplicate lines $(t_1-1)^2 t_3^2$ or $t_1^2(t_3-1)^2$, and it intersects the line $t_1 + t_3 = 1$ at the quadruple point $(t_1, t_3) = (1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$, respectively.

Next we normalize g so that M_3^g admits a simple expression. For this purpose, we introduce the intersection pairing $\mathcal{I}$ between the twisted homology groups $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ and $H_4^{lf}(T, \mathcal{L}_u^\vee)$, where $\mathcal{L}_u^\vee$ is the dual local system of $\mathcal{L}_u$. Here $^\vee$ denotes the sign change operator

$$\nu(a_1, a_2, a_3; b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)^\vee = \nu(-a_1, -a_2, -a_3; -b_1, -b_2, -b_3, -b_4)$$

for any function ν of parameters. For example, we have $\alpha_i^\vee = 1/\alpha_i$ and $u(t, x)^\vee = 1/u(t, x)$. Under our assumption (5.1), the natural map from $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ to $H_4^{lf}(T, \mathcal{L}_u^\vee)$ is isomorphic, and its inverse reg is defined. We can regard the intersection pairing as defined between $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ and $H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u^\vee)$. There exist twisted cycles $\Delta_{jk}^{g,u}$ given by 4-chain Δ_{jk}^g

with a branch of (u,t) such that

$$\int_{\Delta^g_{jk}} u(t,x)dt = g_{jk}F_{jk}(x)$$

for any $0 \le j,k \le 2$. We define a 9×9 matrix H^g by

$$H^g = \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}(\Delta^{g,u}_{00}, \Delta^{g,u\vee}_{00})}\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^{g,u}_{jk}, \Delta^{g,u\ \vee}_{j'k'})\right)_{(jk),(j'k')},$$

where (jk) and $(j'k')$ are arrayed in the order (2.5). We compute $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{jk}, \Delta^{u\ \vee}_{j'k'})$ in §6. In this section, we treat the entries $h_{10}, h_{20}, \dots, h_{22}$ of H as indeterminate for the moment.

Lemma 5.3. *The matrix H^g satisfies*

$$M^g_i H^g\ {}^t(M^g_i)^\vee = H^g \quad (i=1,2,3),$$

and takes the form $\mathrm{diag}(1, h^g_{10}, \dots, h^g_{22})$.

Proof. By the local triviality of the intersection form, we can show that the relations is satisfied by any circuit matrices M^g_ρ along loops ρ with terminal $\dot{x}$; refer to the proof of Lemma 4 in [14] for details. By the property

$$M^g_i H^g\ {}^t(M^g_i)^\vee = H^g \quad (i=1,2),$$

for M_1 and M_2 given in Lemma 5.1, H should be diagonal under the assumption (5.1). It is clear that its top-left entry is 1. □

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that the eigenvalue λ of M^g_3 is different from* 1 *and that $v = (v_{00}, \dots, v_{22})$ is a λ-eigenvector of M^g_3.*

(1) *The eigenspace of M^g_3 of eigenvalue* 1 *is characterized as*

$$\{w \in \mathbb{C}^9 \mid wH^g\ {}^t v^\vee = 0\}.$$

(2) *The vector v satisfies $vH^g\ {}^t v^\vee \neq 0$.*

(3) *The circuit matrix M^g_3 is expressed as*

$$M^g_3 = I_9 - \frac{(1-\lambda)}{vH^g\ {}^t v^\vee} H^g\ {}^t v^\vee v.$$

(4) *No entries of v vanish.*

Proof. (1) Let w be a 1-eigenvector of M^g_3. Then we have

$$wH^g\ {}^t v^\vee = w[M^g_3 H^g\ {}^t(M^g_3)^\vee]\ {}^t v^\vee = (wM^g_3)H^g\ {}^t(vM^g_3)^\vee = \lambda^\vee wH^g\ {}^t v^\vee,$$

$$(1-\lambda^\vee)wH^g\ {}^t v^\vee = 0.$$

Since $(\lambda^\vee)^\vee = \lambda$ and $\lambda \neq 1$, the factor $1-\lambda^\vee$ does not vanish. Hence we have $wH^g\ {}^t v^\vee = 0$.

(2) It is known that the intersection form is a perfect pairing between twisted homology groups. Since the matrix H corresponds to the intersection matrix, it is non-degenerate. If $vH^g\,{}^t v^\vee = 0$ then $uH^g\,{}^t v^\vee = 0$ for any $u \in \mathbb{C}^9$ by the result (1) and Lemma 5.2. This means that H degenerates.
(3) Put

$$M' = I_9 - \frac{1-\lambda}{vH^g\,{}^t v^\vee} H^g\,{}^t v^\vee v.$$

Since we have

$$vM' = v + \frac{1-\lambda}{vH^g\,{}^t v^\vee} vH^g\,{}^t v^\vee v = \lambda v,\ wM' = w + \frac{1-\lambda}{vH^g\,{}^t v^\vee} wH^g\,{}^t v^\vee v = w$$

for any w in $\{w \in \mathbb{C}^9 \mid wH^g\,{}^t v^\vee = 0\}$, the eigenvalues and eigenspaces of M' and M_3^g coincide. Hence M' is equal to M_3^g.
(4) Assume that an entry v_i of v vanishes. Then the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_i$ is a 1-eigenvector of M_3^g since $\mathbf{e}_i H\,{}^t v^\vee = 0$. Moreover, $\mathbf{e}_i$ is an eigenvector of M_1^g and that of M_2^g. Hence the space spanned by $\mathbf{e}_i$ is invariant subspace of the monodromy representation. By removing the power function $x_1^{1-b_j} x_2^{1-b_k}$ from corresponding solution to $\mathbf{e}_i$ and restricting it to $x_2 = 0$, we have the differential equation ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2$ associated with it. This has an invariant subspace of the monodromy representation. By Proposition 3.3 in [2], some of a_i, $a_i - b_1$, $a_i - b_2$ belong to $\mathbb{Z}$. It contradicts to our assumption (5.1). □

We normalize $g = (g_{00}, g_{10}, \ldots, g_{22})$ so that the λ-eigenvector v of M_3^g becomes $\mathbf{1} = (1, \ldots, 1)$. From now on, we fix g, and we use symbols $\mathbf{F}(x)$, $H = (1, h_{10}, \ldots, h_{22})$, M_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ for this fixed g. Note that M_1 and M_2 are given in Lemma 5.1 and that

$$M_3 = I_9 - \frac{1-\lambda}{\mathbf{1}H\,{}^t\mathbf{1}} H\,{}^t\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1},$$

where we regard λ and entries of H as indeterminate.

Finally, we determine them by considering the restrictions $\mathbf{F}(x)$ to $x_i = 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Proposition 5.1. *The eigenvalue λ and the diagonal entries of H are*

$$\lambda = \frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3},$$
$$h_{10} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_2-\beta_1)(\alpha_3-\beta_1)(\beta_2-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_1(\beta_1-\beta_2)},$$
$$h_{20} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2)(\alpha_2-\beta_2)(\alpha_3-\beta_2)(\beta_1-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_2(\beta_2-\beta_1)},$$

$$h_{01} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_3)(\beta_4-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_3(\beta_3-\beta_4)},$$
$$h_{11} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_3)(\beta_2-1)(\beta_4-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_1\beta_3(\beta_1-\beta_2)(\beta_3-\beta_4)},$$
$$h_{21} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_3)(\beta_1-1)(\beta_4-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_2\beta_3(\beta_2-\beta_1)(\beta_3-\beta_4)},$$

$$h_{02} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_4)(\beta_3-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_4(\beta_4-\beta_3)},$$
$$h_{12} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_4)(\beta_2-1)(\beta_3-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_1\beta_4(\beta_1-\beta_2)(\beta_4-\beta_3)},$$
$$h_{22} = \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_4)(\beta_1-1)(\beta_3-1)}{(\alpha_1-1)(\alpha_2-1)(\alpha_3-1)\beta_2\beta_4(\beta_2-\beta_1)(\beta_4-\beta_3)}.$$

Remark 5.2. The group $S_3 \times D_4$ naturally acts on the entries h_{jk} of H. This is compatible with that on the fundamental solutions $F_{jk}(x)$.

Proof. Consider the loop $\rho_3{\cdot}(\rho_2{\cdot}\rho_3{\cdot}\rho_2^{-1}){\cdot}(\rho_2^2{\cdot}\rho_3{\cdot}\rho_2^{-2})$. By Proposition 4.1, it commutes with ρ_2 and homotopic to a loop ρ_2' turning $x_1 = 1$ once positively in the space $\{(x_1, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x_1 \neq 0, 1\}$. Let N_2 be the circuit matrix along this loop with respect to $\mathbf{F}(x)$. Since it commutes with M_2, it is a block diagonal matrix with respect to the $(3,3,3)$-partition. Since the restrictions of $F_{j0}(x)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2)$ to $x_2 = 0$ reduce to a fundamental system ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix}a_1, a_2, a_3\\ b_1, b_2\end{matrix}\right)$, the top-left block of N_2 coincides with the circuit matrix of ρ_2' with respect to their restrictions. This 3×3 circuit matrix is expressed in Proposition 3.1 of [13] as

$$I_3 - \frac{1-\lambda'}{\mathbf{1}'H'\,{}^t\mathbf{1}'} H'\,{}^t\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1}',$$

where I_3 is the unit matrix of size 3, $\lambda' = \dfrac{\beta_1\beta_2}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}$, $\mathbf{1}' = (1,1,1)$ and $H' = \mathrm{diag}(1, h_{10}, h_{20})$ for given h_{10} and h_{20} in this proposition. By following the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [13], we can show the coincidence of two fundamental systems. By the uniqueness of the matrix H, we determine the values of h_{10} and h_{20} as in this proposition.

We consider the middle block of N_2 and the ratios of the solutions $F_{j1}(x)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2)$. By taking out the factor $x_2^{1-b_3}$ from them, we have the circuit matrix of ρ_2' with respect to a fundamental system of

${}_3\mathcal{F}_2\left(\begin{matrix}a_1-b_3+1, a_2-b_3+1, a_3-b_3+1\\ b_1, \quad b_2\end{matrix}\right)$. It is

$$I_3 - \frac{1-\lambda'}{\mathbf{1}'H'\,{}^t\mathbf{1}'} H'\,{}^t\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1}',$$

where $\lambda' = \dfrac{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3^3}$, $H' = \mathrm{diag}(1, h'_{10}, h'_{20})$ and h'_{j0} is the replacement of h_{j0} by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \to (\alpha_1/\beta_3, \alpha_2/\beta_3, \alpha_3/\beta_3)$. By the uniqueness of H, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{h_{11}}{h_{01}} &= \frac{(\alpha_1/\beta_3-\beta_1)(\alpha_2/\beta_3-\beta_1)(\alpha_3/\beta_3-\beta_1)(\beta_2-1)}{(\alpha_1/\beta_3-1)(\alpha_2/\beta_3-1)(\alpha_3/\beta_3-1)\beta_1(\beta_1-\beta_2)},\\
\frac{h_{21}}{h_{01}} &= \frac{(\alpha_1/\beta_3-\beta_2)(\alpha_2/\beta_3-\beta_2)(\alpha_3/\beta_3-\beta_2)(\beta_1-1)}{(\alpha_1/\beta_3-1)(\alpha_2/\beta_3-1)(\alpha_3/\beta_3-1)\beta_2(\beta_2-\beta_1)},\\
h_{11} &= h_{01}\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_3)(\beta_2-1)}{(\alpha_1-\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_3)\beta_1(\beta_1-\beta_2)},\\
h_{21} &= h_{01}\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_3)(\beta_1-1)}{(\alpha_1-\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_3)(\alpha_3-\beta_3)\beta_2(\beta_2-\beta_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the bottom right block of N_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{12} &= h_{02}\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_4)(\beta_2-1)}{(\alpha_1-\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_4)\beta_1(\beta_1-\beta_2)},\\
h_{22} &= h_{02}\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_4)(\beta_1-1)}{(\alpha_1-\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_4)(\alpha_3-\beta_4)\beta_2(\beta_2-\beta_1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By considering the loop $\rho_3\cdot(\rho_1\cdot\rho_3\cdot\rho_1^{-1})\cdot(\rho_1^2\cdot\rho_3\cdot\rho_1^{-2})$, we determine the values of h_{01} and h_{02} as in this proposition. Hence we have the entries of H.

We compute the determinant of N_2. Since $\det(M_3) = \lambda$, we have $\det(N_2) = \lambda^3$. On the other hand, the determinants of block matrices of N_2 are

$$\frac{\beta_1\beta_2}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}, \quad \frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3^3}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}, \quad \frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_4^3}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}.$$

Thus we have

$$\lambda^3 = \Big(\frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}\Big)^3.$$

Note that $(1,1,1,0,\dots,0)$ is an eigenvector of N_2. This property yields that λ is as in this proposition. □

We conclude results in this section as the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose the condition (5.1). Then we have*

$$M_1 = \mathrm{diag}(1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}, 1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}, 1, \beta_1^{-1}, \beta_2^{-1}),$$
$$M_2 = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_3^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}, \beta_4^{-1}),$$
$$M_3 = I_9 - \frac{1-\lambda}{\mathbf{1}H\,{}^t\mathbf{1}} H\,{}^t\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1},$$

where I_9 is the unit matrix of size 9, $\alpha_i = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}a_i)$, $\beta_j = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}b_j)$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^9$, $\lambda = (\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4)/(\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3)$, $H = \mathrm{diag}(1, h_{10}, h_{20}, \dots, h_{22})$ whose entries are given in Proposition 5.1.

Corollary 5.1. *The system $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ is regular singular.*

Proof. We have only to consider the behavior of its solutions around each component of the singular locus S. □

Remark 5.3. (1) To study the monodromy of ${}_3\mathcal{F}_2\begin{pmatrix} a_1, a_2, a_3 \\ b_1, b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in [13], we assume

$$a_i - a_{i'} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1 \le i < i' \le 3)$$

so that the eigen-polynomial $f(t)$ of a circuit matrix implies two independent linear equations by the substitution of $t = \alpha_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Since we can remove these conditions by considering $f'(t)$ and $f''(t)$ in the case of $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i'}$, we do not need these conditions for Theorem 5.1.

(2) Note that we can cancel the factor $\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4$ from $\dfrac{1-\lambda}{\mathbf{1}H\,{}^t\mathbf{1}}$ in the expression of M_3 in Theorem 5.1. Thus we can remove the condition

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 - b_1 - b_2 - b_3 - b_4 \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

from (5.1).

6. Intersection numbers

In this section, we compute the intersection numbers of twisted cycles corresponding to fundamental solutions. We start from the following lemma, which is a consequence of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 6.1. *If $(j, k) \neq (j', k')$ for $0 \le j, j', k, k' \le 2$ then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{jk}, {\Delta^u_{j'k'}}^{\vee}) = 0,$$

where Δ^u_{jk} are given in Remarks 3.1, 3.3 ,3.4 and 3.5, and ${\Delta^u_{jk}}^{\vee}$ denotes the image of Δ^u_{jk} under the map ${}^{\vee}$.

We compute intersection numbers by the two-dimensional reduction technique as follows.

Lemma 6.2. *The intersection numbers* $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee)$, $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{10}, {\Delta^u_{10}}^\vee)$, $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{11}, {\Delta^u_{11}}^\vee)$ *and* $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{21}, {\Delta^u_{21}}^\vee)$ *are*

$$\frac{(\alpha_1-1)\beta_1\beta_3}{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_3)(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)}\cdot\frac{(\alpha_2-1)\beta_2\beta_4}{(1-\beta_2)(1-\beta_4)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)},$$

$$\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_1)(\alpha_3-\beta_1)\beta_3}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_3-1)(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_3)}\cdot\frac{(\alpha_2-\beta_1)\beta_2\beta_4}{(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)(\beta_2-\beta_1)(1-\beta_4)},$$

$$\frac{\alpha_3-\beta_1\beta_3}{(1-\beta_1)(1-\beta_3)(\alpha_3-1)}\cdot\frac{(\alpha_2-\beta_1\beta_3)\beta_2\beta_4}{(\beta_2-\beta_1)(\beta_4-\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)},$$

$$\frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_3)\beta_1\beta_4}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)(\beta_1-\beta_2)(\beta_4-\beta_3)}\cdot\frac{\alpha_3-\beta_2\beta_3}{(\alpha_3-1)(1-\beta_2)(1-\beta_3)},$$

respectively.

Proof. By Remark 3.1 (2), we can compute $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee)$ as the product of the intersection numbers $\mathcal{I}(\triangle_1^{u_1}, {\triangle_1^{u_1}}^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\triangle_2^{u_2}, {\triangle_2^{u_2}}^\vee)$, where $\triangle_i^{u_i}$ $(i=1,2)$ is a twisted cycle given by $\triangle_i$ and u_i in the (t_i, t_{i+2})-space. By using results in §3.1 of Chapter VIII in [17], we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\triangle_1^{u_1}, {\triangle_1^{u_1}}^\vee) = \frac{(1-\alpha_1^{-1})}{(1-\beta_1^{-1})(1-\beta_3^{-1})(1-\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1\beta_3)},$$
$$\mathcal{I}(\triangle_2^{u_2}, {\triangle_2^{u_2}}^\vee) = \frac{(1-\alpha_2^{-1})}{(1-\beta_2^{-1})(1-\beta_4^{-1})(1-\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2\beta_4)}.$$

The intersection number $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{10}, {\Delta^u_{10}}^\vee)$ can be computed in the s-space by the involution $\imath_{13}$. It reduces to the product of $\mathcal{I}(\square_1^{u'_1}, {\square_1^{u'_1}}^\vee)$ and $\mathcal{I}(\triangle_2^{u'_2}, {\triangle_2^{u'_2}}^\vee)$, where $\square_1^{u'_1}$ and $\triangle_2^{u'_2}$ are twisted cycles in the (s_i, s_{i+2})-space. We can similarly compute them as

$$\mathcal{I}(\square_1^{u'_1}, {\square_1^{u'_1}}^\vee) = \frac{1-\alpha_3^{-1}\beta_1}{(1-\beta_1)(1-\alpha_3^{-1})}\cdot\frac{1-\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1}{(1-\beta_3^{-1})(1-\alpha_1^{-1}\beta_1\beta_3)},$$
$$\mathcal{I}(\triangle_2^{u'_2}, {\triangle_2^{u'_2}}^\vee) = \frac{1-\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_1}{(1-\beta_1\beta_2^{-1})(1-\beta_4^{-1})(1-\alpha_2^{-1}\beta_2\beta_4)}.$$

The rests also can be similarly computed. □

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{jk}, {\Delta^u_{jk}}^\vee\right) = h_{jk}\cdot\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee),$$

for any $0\le j,k\le 2$, *where* h_{jk} *are given in Proposition 5.1.*

Proof. Lemma 6.2 yields that this proposition holds for the twisted cycles Δ^u_{jk} ($(jk) = (10), (11), (21)$). Recall that the other twisted cycles are constructed by these twisted cycles and some actions of $S_3 \times D_4$ on the parameters. By acting them on the obtained identities and using Remark 5.2, we have this proposition. For example, by the action of $((12), \sigma_{110}) \in S_3 \times D_4$ on the identity for Δ^u_{10}, the intersection number $\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{20}, {\Delta^u_{20}}^\vee\right)$ can be computed as

$$\frac{(\alpha_2-\beta_2)(\alpha_3-\beta_2)\beta_4}{(\alpha_2-\beta_2\beta_4)(\alpha_3-1)(1-\beta_2)(1-\beta_4)} \cdot \frac{(\alpha_1-\beta_2)\beta_1\beta_3}{(\alpha_1-\beta_1\beta_3)(\beta_1-\beta_2)(1-\beta_3)},$$

and it is equal to $h_{20}\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right)$, where σ_{110} is given in Table 1. □

Remark 6.1. The intersection number $\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right)$ is not invariant under the action of $S_3 \times D_4$ on the parameters. In the constructions of Δ_{jk} $(jk) = (20), (01), (02), (21)$ and (12), we use only actions which keep it invariant. By Proposition 6.1 and Remark 5.2, the action of $S_3 \times D_4$ on the ratio

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{jk}, {\Delta^u_{jk}}^\vee\right)}{\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right)}$$

is compatible with that on the fundamental solutions $F_{jk}(x)$. This property yields that

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{\sigma(jk)}, {\Delta^u_{\sigma(jk)}}^\vee\right) = \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{jk}, {\Delta^u_{jk}}^\vee\right)}{\sigma \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right)} \times \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right),$$

where $\sigma \in D_4$ and $\Delta^u_{\sigma(jk)}$ is the twisted cycle corresponding to the fundamental solution $\sigma \cdot F_{jk}$. For example, $\mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{20}, {\Delta^u_{20}}^\vee\right)$ can be computed as

$$\frac{\sigma_{100} \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{10}, {\Delta^u_{10}}^\vee\right)}{\sigma_{100} \cdot \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right)} \times \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta^u_{00}, {\Delta^u_{00}}^\vee\right),$$

where σ_{100} is given in Table 1.

Theorem 6.1. *The fundamental system* $\mathbf{F}(x)$ *is given by the integrals*

$$\mathbf{\Delta}(x) = {}^t\Big(\dots, \int_{\Delta_{jk}} u(t,x)dt, \dots\Big),$$

where (jk) *are arrayed in the order* $(00), (10), (20), \dots, (22)$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 and Corollaries 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, there exists a diagonal matrix $g = \mathrm{diag}(\dots, g_{jk}, \dots) \in GL_9(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mathbf{F}(x) = g\mathbf{\Delta}(x).$$

Moreover, it turns out by Proposition 6.1 that

$$gHg^\vee = H,$$

which is equivalent to $g_{jk}g_{jk}^{\vee} = 1$ for any (jk). We show that g is a scalar matrix. By our normalization of $\mathbf{F}(x)$, it is sufficient to show that the λ-eigenvector of the circuit matrix of ρ_3 is $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$ with respect to $\mathbf{\Delta}(x)$. As in proved in Lemma 5.2, the integral $\int_{\mathbb{O}} u(t,x)dt$ corresponds to the λ-eigenvector of M_3. We express $\mathbb{O}^u$ as a linear combination

$$\mathbb{O}^u = \sum_{0 \le j,k \le 2} c_{jk} \Delta_{jk}^u, \tag{6.1}$$

of $\Delta_{jk}^u \in H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$, where $\mathbb{O}^u$ is the twisted cycle defined by the 4-cycle $\mathbb{O}$ in (5.2) and a branch of $u(t,x)$ on it. By following the proof of Proposition 5.8 in [7], we can show that the key identity

$$\mathcal{I}\left(\mathbb{O}^u, {\Delta_{jk}^u}^{\vee}\right) = \mathcal{I}\left(\Delta_{jk}^u, {\Delta_{jk}^u}^{\vee}\right)$$

for any (jk). By considering the intersection numbers of both sides of (6.1) and ${\Delta_{jk}^u}^{\vee}$, we see that $c_{jk} = 1$ by the key identity and Lemma 6.1. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{O}^u = \sum_{0 \le j,k \le 2} \Delta_{jk}^u = (1, \dots, 1)\ {}^t(\dots, \Delta_{jk}^u, \dots),$$

which shows the λ-eigenvector of the circuit matrix of ρ_3 is $\mathbf{1}$ with respect to $\mathbf{\Delta}(x)$ by the linearity of the integration. □

Recall that the (j,k)-entry of $\mathbf{\Delta}(x)$ is $\int_{\Delta_{jk}} u(t,x)dt = F_{jk}(x)/C_{jk}$. Note that C_{jk} times

$$\Gamma(1-a_3)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)$$

becomes

$$C'_{jk} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3} \Gamma(b_{1j}+b_{2k}-a_i-1)}{\prod_{1\le i\le 3}^{i\ne j} \Gamma(b_{1j}-b_{1i}) \prod_{1\le i\le 3}^{i\ne k} \Gamma(b_{2k}-b_{2i})}.$$

Thus we consider

$$\frac{\mathbf{F}(x)}{\Gamma(1-a_3)\Gamma(b_1+b_3-a_1-1)\Gamma(b_2+b_4-a_2-1)};$$

its (j,k)-entry $G_{jk}(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{jk}(x)}{C'_{jk}} =& \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{3} \sin(\pi(a_i-b_{1j}-b_{2k}+2))}{\prod_{1\le i\le 3}^{i\ne j} \sin(\pi(b_{1j}-b_{1i})) \prod_{1\le i\le 3}^{i\ne k} \sin(\pi(b_{2k}-b_{2i}))} \\ & \cdot \sum_{n'_1,n'_2} \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\Gamma(a_i+n'_1+n'_2)}{\Gamma(b_{1i}+n'_1)\Gamma(b_{2i}+n'_2)}\Big] x_1^{n'_1} x_2^{n'_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where n_1' and n_2' run over the sets

$$1-b_{1j}+\mathbb{N}=\{1-b_{1j},2-b_{1j},3-b_{1j},\dots\},$$
$$1-b_{2k}+\mathbb{N}=\{1-b_{2k},2-b_{2k},3-b_{2k},\dots\},$$

respectively. Note that $G_{jk}(x)$ is defined under conditions

$$b_{1i}-b_{1j}\ (i\in\{1,2,3\}-\{j\}),\quad b_{2i}-b_{1k}\ (i\in\{1,2,3\}-\{k\})\notin\mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 6.2. In [9], we give a linear transformation of them so that the entries are valid even in

$$(\beta_2-\beta_1)(\beta_2-1)(\beta_1-1)(\beta_4-\beta_3)(\beta_4-1)(\beta_3-1)=0,$$

and study the irreducibility of the monodromy representation of $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix}a\\B\end{pmatrix}$.

We can regard the twisted homology group $H_4(T,\mathcal{L}_u)$ as the representation space of the monodromy of $\mathcal{F}\begin{pmatrix}a\\B\end{pmatrix}$. By the deformation of elements in $H_4(T,\mathcal{L}_u)$ along a loop ρ, we have a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}:\pi_1(X,\dot{x})\ni\rho\mapsto\mathcal{M}_\rho\in GL(H_4(T,\mathcal{L}_u)).$$

We express the circuit transformations $\mathcal{M}_i=\mathcal{M}_{\rho_i}$ along the loops ρ_i $(i=1,2,3)$ in terms of the intersection form.

Theorem 6.2. *We have*

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\Delta^u)=$$
$$\Delta^u-(1-\beta_1^{-1})\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{10}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{11}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{12}}^\vee)\right)\mathcal{H}_{11}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta^u_{10}\\\Delta^u_{11}\\\Delta^u_{12}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$-(1-\beta_2^{-1})\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{20}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{21}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{22}}^\vee)\right)\mathcal{H}_{12}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta^u_{20}\\\Delta^u_{21}\\\Delta^u_{22}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_2(\Delta^u)=$$
$$\Delta^u-(1-\beta_3^{-1})\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{01}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{11}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{21}}^\vee)\right)\mathcal{H}_{21}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta^u_{01}\\\Delta^u_{11}\\\Delta^u_{21}\end{pmatrix}$$
$$-(1-\beta_4^{-1})\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{02}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{12}}^\vee),\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,{\Delta^u_{22}}^\vee)\right)\mathcal{H}_{22}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\Delta^u_{02}\\\Delta^u_{12}\\\Delta^u_{22}\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_3(\Delta^u)=\Delta^u-\Big(1-\frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}\Big)\frac{\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u,\mathbb{O}^{u\vee})}{\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{O}^u,\mathbb{O}^{u\vee})}\mathbb{O}^u,$$

where $\Delta^u \in H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ *and*

$$\mathcal{H}_{1j} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{j0}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{j0}), \mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{j1}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{j1}), \mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{j2}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{j2})\right),$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{2j} = \mathrm{diag}\left(\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{0j}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{0j}), \mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{1j}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{1j}), \mathcal{I}(\Delta^u_{2j}, \Delta^{u\,\vee}_{2j})\right).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}'_i(\Delta^u)$ be the right hand side of $\mathcal{M}_i(\Delta^u)$ in this theorem. We show that M_i in Theorem 5.1 appears as the representation matrix of $\mathcal{M}'_i$ with respect to the column vector

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}^u = {}^t(\Delta^u_{00}, \Delta^u_{10}, \ldots, \Delta^u_{22}).$$

By Lemma 6.1, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{M}'_1(\Delta^u_{0j}) = \Delta^u_{0j}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{M}'_1(\Delta^u_{1j}) = \Delta^u_{1j} - (1 - \beta_1^{-1})(\delta_{0j}, \delta_{1j}, \delta_{2j}) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^u_{10} \\ \Delta^u_{11} \\ \Delta^u_{12} \end{pmatrix} = \beta_1^{-1}\Delta^u_{1j},$$

$$\mathcal{M}'_1(\Delta^u_{2j}) = \Delta^u_{2j} - (1 - \beta_2^{-1})(\delta_{0j}, \delta_{1j}, \delta_{2j}) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^u_{20} \\ \Delta^u_{21} \\ \Delta^u_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \beta_2^{-1}\Delta^u_{2j},$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's symbol. Thus we have the representation matrix M_1 from $\mathcal{M}'_1$. Similarly we have the representation matrix M_2 from $\mathcal{M}'_2$. By the definition of $\mathcal{M}'_3$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}'_3(\mathbb{O}^u) = \frac{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}\mathbb{O}^u, \quad \mathcal{M}'_3(\Delta^u) = \Delta^u$$

for any $\Delta^u \in H_4(T, \mathcal{L}_u)$ satisfying $\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u, \mathbb{O}^{u\vee}) = 0$. We have only to note that $\mathbb{O}^u = (1, \ldots, 1)\boldsymbol{\Delta}^u$ and

$$\mathcal{I}(\Delta^u, \mathbb{O}^{u\vee}) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow (w_{00}, \ldots, w_{22})H\ {}^t(1, \ldots, 1) = 0$$

for $\Delta^u = (w_{00}, \ldots, w_{22})\boldsymbol{\Delta}^u$. □

References

[1] Aomoto K. and Kita M., translated from the Japanese by Iohara K., *Theory of hypergeometric functions*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, 2011.

[2] Beukers F. and Heckman G., Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$, *Invent. Math.*, **95** (1989), 325–354.

[3] Cho K., A generalization of Kita and Noumi's vanishing theorems of cohomology groups of local system, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **147** (1997), 63–69.

[4] Dimca A., *Singularities and topology of hypersurfaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.

[5] Exton, H., *Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*, Chichester, England, Ellis Horwood, 1976.

[6] Goto Y., Twisted cycles and twisted period relations for Lauricella's hypergeometric function F_C, *Internat. J. Math.*, **24** (2013), 1350094, 19 pp.

[7] Goto Y., The monodromy representation for Lauricella's hypergeometric function F_C, to appear in *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*.
[8] Goto Y. and Matsumoto K., The monodromy representation and twisted period relations for Appell's hypergeometric function F_4, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **217** (2015), 61–94.
[9] Kaneko J., Matsumoto K. and Ohara K., The structure of a local system associated with a hypergeometric system of rank 9, in preparation.
[10] Kaneko J., Matsumoto K. and Ohara K., A system of hypergeometric differential equations in m variables of rank p^m, in preparation.
[11] Kaneko J., Monodromy group of Appell's system (F_4), *Tokyo J. Math.*, **4** (1981), 35–54.
[12] Matsumoto K., Monodromy and Pfaffian of Lauricella's F_D in terms of the intersection forms of twisted (co)homology groups, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **67** (2013), 367–387.
[13] Matsumoto K., Monodromy representations of hypergeometric systems with respect to fundamental series solutions, to appear in *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*.
[14] Matsumoto K. and Yoshida M., Monodromy of Lauricella's hypergeometric F_A-system, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, **13** (2014), 551–577.
[15] Srivastava H.M., and Karlsson Per W., *Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series,* Ellis Horwood Ltd., Chichester; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York, 1985.
[16] Saito M., Sturmfels B., and Takayama N., *Gröbner deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations*, Springer, 2000.
[17] Yoshida M., *Hypergeometric functions, my love, -Modular interpretations of configuration spaces-*, Aspects of Mathematics E32., Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

(Kaneko) Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of the Ryukyus, Nishihara, Okinawa, 903-0213, Japan
E-mail address: kaneko@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp

(Matsumoto) Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
E-mail address: matsu@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

(Ohara) Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan,
E-mail address: ohara@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp